Public Hearing & Regular Meeting

Agenda Item #	2 & 3
Meeting Date	June 21, 2004
Prepared By	Catherine Waters City Clerk / Treasurer
Approved By	Richard M. Finn

Discussion Item	Speed Hump Petition - Elm Avenue						
Background	In December 2003, a petition for a speed hump on Elm Avenue, between Heather and Larch (specifically between Heather and Linda Lane) was submitted by the residents of Linden Lane, and Elm, Heather and Larch Avenues. The petition meets the minimum requirement of signatures from at least 2/3 of the eligible households, with 79% of the eligible signatures.						
	Pursuant to the requirements of Administrative Regulation No. 96-01, the spe hump request was discussed by the affected community association (South of Citizens Association (SOSCA)).						
	The Public Works Director briefed the Council during Worksession on June 14 about the timing of street work on Elm Avenue and the impact on the recommendation regarding the speed hump installation, as follows:						
	a. WSSC is planning to conduct extensive water main work on Elm Avenue with in the next 18 months. They have coordinated with the City to avoid cutting recently paved streets. Consequently, road renovations on Elm Avenue have been postponed until the 2006 paving season.						
	b. The City of Takoma Park could install temporary (rubber or hard plastic) speed bumps for approximately \$800.00 per bump. Since these temporary bumps are designed for parking lots and short term road utilization, they could require significant maintenance over time and lose any cost benefit. In comparison, the cost of an permanent asphalt concrete speed hump is approximately \$900.00.						
	The Council gave direction to proceed with the installation of a permanent asphalt concrete speed hump.						
Policy	Under the requirements of the Speed Hump Petition Process, a successful petition must have support of 2/3 or greater, of the eligible households.						
Fiscal Impact	\$900 / speed hump						
Attachments	1 st Reading Ordinance authorizing Installation of Speed Hump SOSCA Letter						
Recommendation	Conduct Public Hearing and accept Ordinance at 1 st Reading. 2 nd Reading of the Ordinance is scheduled for July 12.						
Special Consideration							

Introduced by: 1st Reading: 2nd Reading:

ORDINANCE #2004-___ SPEED HUMP INSTALLATION ELM AVENUE

BE IT ORDAINEI) BY	THE COUNCIL	OF TH	IE CITY (OF TA	AKOMA	PARK.	MA	ARYI	AND:

SECTION 1. THAT Ordinance No. 2676, adopted June 27, 1983, be amended by the addition of a new subsection to Section 1, as set forth below:

That a speed hump, as defined in Sec. 13-2(u) of the *Takoma Park Code*, as amended, be effected at the following location(s):

- Elm Avenue between Heather Avenue and Linden Lane.
- SECTION 2. THAT the exact location of the speed hump(s) shall be at the discretion of the City Manager or his designee; AND
- SECTION 3. THAT this Ordinance becomes effective upon adoption.

ADOPTED this _____ day of July, 2004.

AYE:

NAY:

ABSTAIN:

ABSENT:

April 14, 2004

Mayor Kathy Porter City of Takoma Park 7500 Maple Avenue Takoma Park,MD 20912

Dear Mayor Porter:

Thank you for participating in the March 25 meeting of the South of Sligo Community Association (SOSCA). The meeting was convened to assess community support for or opposition to a petition requesting one or more speed-bumps or other traffic-calming devices in the One Thousand block of Elm Avenue, between Larch and Heather. Support for the petition and its goals was very strong. Accordingly, SOSCA requests that the matter be added to the Council agenda for discussion and action at the earliest appropriate opportunity. Thank you for your attention to this serious safety concern. A description of the meeting follows:

Nicole Berner-Kadish (1012 Elm Ave), one of the organizers of the petition, opened the discussion with her views on why a traffic calming device was needed on her block. Ms. Berner-Kadish explained that the block is used as a cut-through by cars coming both to and from Sligo Creek Parkway, thus the volume of cars is disproportionately large for a small residential street. In addition, there is no stop sign at the top of Heather coming up the street, or along the long block between Heather and Larch and cars tend to drive quite quickly as they pass through the neighborhood. Particularly the Northern part of the block is quite narrow, and only a small fraction of the block has any sidewalk. Thus, pedestrians, parked cars and two-way traffic all share the very narrow street. Another neighbor involved in the speed bump petition Michael Lutsky (1014 Elm) echoed these sentiments. Mr. Lutsky also spoke of the noise of speeding cars in front of his home and added that -- in contrast to only a few years ago -- the block now has a large number of families with small children. Mr. Lutsky expressed concern for the safety of these children. A number of other residents of the immediate area spoke out in favor of the speed bump petition. Many told personal experiences of walking along the block and having cars drive by at excessive speeds. Former SOSCA President Sally Taber noted that the One Thousand block of Elm Ave. was identified as a traffic cut-through in the traffic study that had been conducted a number of years back. As a result of that study, a number of speed bumps were installed in the

neighborhood, but not on this block. Greg Seekins, who lives on Ethan Allen Avenue, stated that he was "philosophically opposed to speed bumps" and that he "did not think the speed bump would solve the problems" raised in the meeting. At the end of the discussion a vote was taken. In total, 13 voted in favor of the petition, 2 abstained, and 1 voted against.

Sincerely,

Robbi Kimball President, SOSCA